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The Lorenz Equations

The equations describe a simplified model of unpredictable turbulent flows in fluid dynamics. Exhibits sensitive dependence on initial conditions and chaoticity.

\[
\begin{align*}
\dot{x} &= \sigma(y - x) \\
\dot{y} &= x(\rho - z) - y \\
\dot{z} &= xy - \beta z
\end{align*}
\]

The standard parameter values are \(\sigma = 10, \beta = \frac{8}{3}, \rho = 28\) and \(\rho\) is often varied. The fixed points are

\[(0, 0, 0), \quad (\pm \sqrt{\beta(\rho - 1)}, \pm \sqrt{\beta(\rho - 1)}, \rho - 1)\].\]
Study Trajectories of the Lorenz System

Using conventional Runge Kutta integrators, study trajectories of an initial point

\[(x, y, z)|_0 = (15, 15, 36).\]

Integration from \(t = 0\) to \(t = T = 20\).

RK4: the 4th order RK
RK4S: 4th order RK with automatic step size control
COSY-RK8: 8th order RK implemented in COSY
Non-verified Runge-Kutta Integrations of Lorenz eqs. - Trajectory
Non-verified Runge-Kutta Integrations of Lorenz eqs. - Trajectory
Non-verified Runge-Kutta Integrations of Lorenz eqs. - x Position

- **cosy RK**
- **RK4S**
- **RK4**
Study Trajectories of the Lorenz System

Using conventional Runge Kutta integrators, study trajectories of an initial point

\[ (x, y, z)|_0 = (15, 15, 36). \]

Integration from \( t = 0 \) to \( t = T = 20 \).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>( x(T) )</th>
<th>( y(T) )</th>
<th>( z(T) )</th>
<th>single step error</th>
<th>CPU</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>RK4</td>
<td>2.205</td>
<td>1.030</td>
<td>22.282</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.689</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RK4S</td>
<td>-3.388</td>
<td>0.796</td>
<td>27.582</td>
<td>1.27e-2</td>
<td>0.904</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COSY-RK8</td>
<td>14.309</td>
<td>9.591</td>
<td>39.039</td>
<td>1.21e-7</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

RK4S: 4th order RK with automatic step size control
COSY-RK8: 8th order RK implemented in COSY
Study Trajectories of the Lorenz System

Using COSY-RK8, study trajectories of initial points

\[(x, y, z)|_0 = (15, 15, 36)\]

and

\[(x, y, z)|_0 = (15, 15, 36) + (\pm 0.01, \pm 0.01, \pm 0.01)\]
Trajectories of the Lorenz System

\[ t \in [0, 1.56] \]

Distance to the Center Trajectory
Trajectories of the Lorenz System  
I.C. $\pm 0.01$

$t \in [0, 5.326]$

Distance to the Center Trajectory
Trajectories of the Lorenz System

\[ t \in [0, 10] \]

Distance to the Center Trajectory
Rigorous Integrations of the Lorenz System

As a test case, transport an area of initial condition

$$(x, y, z)|_0 = (15, 15, 36) + (±0.01, ±0.01, ±0.01)$$

using rigorous ODE integrators.

AWA: An interval based ODE integrator by R. Lohner

COSY-VI: Taylor model based ODE integrator in COSY
– Computed in 2001, using COSY-VI version 1
Taylor Model based Integrator COSY-VI version 1 (1997–)

- High order expansion not only in time \( t \) but also in transversal variables \( \bar{x} \).
- One time step integration via Picard iterations based on the Shauder fixed point theorem.
- Shrink wrapping algorithm, a simplified version
The Wrapping Effect in Linear ODEs

Initial Condition Interval Box

Solution Set

Solution Set in the Optimal Interval Box

Solution Set in Rotated Rectangles
( Here, the Right One is Optimal. )

Solution Set by Taylor Models
The Wrapping Effect in Nonlinear ODEs

Initial Condition Interval Box

Solution Set

Solution Set in the Optimal Interval Box

Solution Set in an Optimal Rotated Rectangle

Solution Set in an Optimal Eight-Corner Polygon

Solution Set by Taylor Models
The Henon Map

Henon Map: frequently used elementary example that exhibits many of the well-known effects of nonlinear dynamics, including chaos, periodic fixed points, islands and symplectic motion. The dynamics is two-dimensional, and given by

\[ x_{n+1} = 1 - \alpha x_n^2 + y_n \]
\[ y_{n+1} = \beta x_n. \]

It can easily be seen that the motion is area preserving for \(|\beta| = 1\). We consider

\[ \alpha = 2.4 \text{ and } \beta = -1, \]

and concentrate on initial boxes of the from \((x_0, y_0) \in (0.4, -0.4) + [-d, d]^2\).
Henon (Area Preserving). Performance Comparison. TM order 13, IC width 4e-3, no domain split
Verified Integration of the Lorenz System

\[ x' = 10(y - x), \quad y' = x(28 - z) - y, \quad z' = xy - \frac{8}{3}z \]
\[ x_0 = 15 \pm 0.01, \quad y_0 = 15 \pm 0.01, \quad z_0 = 36 \pm 0.01 \]

Solution Ranges by COSY and AWA (18th Order)
Flow Enclosures of the Lorenz System

initial condition box

step 2

step 4

step 8

step 12

step 16
Taylor Model based Integrator COSY-VI version 2 (2002-)

- Shrink wrapping algorithm including blunting to control ill-conditioned cases.
- Pre-conditioning algorithms based on the Curvilinear, QR decomposition, and blunting pre-conditioners.
- Capability of weighted order computation, allowing to suppress the expansion order in transversal variables $\vec{x}$. 
Henon (Area Preserving). Performance Comparison. TM order 13, IC width 4e-3, no domain split
Taylor Model based Integrator COSY-VI
version 3 (2007-)

• More economical one time step integration using the reference trajectory and the Lie derivative based flow operator on the deviation equations.

• Non aborting mechanism when prohibited arithmetic happens such as $1/f$ for $0 \in f$.

• Improvement of step size control.

• Error parametrization of Taylor models.

• Dynamic domain decomposition.
Error Parametrization of Taylor models

**Motivation:** Is it possible to absorb the remainder error bound intervals of Taylor models into the polynomial parts using additional parameters?

Phrase the question as the following problem:

1. Have Taylor models with $0$ remainder error interval, which depend on the independent variables $\vec{x}$ and the parameters $\vec{\alpha}$.

   \[ \vec{T}_0 = \vec{P}_0(\vec{x}, \vec{\alpha}) + [0,0]. \]

2. Perform Taylor model arithmetic on $\vec{T}_0$, namely $\vec{F}(\vec{T}_0)$

   \[ \vec{F}(\vec{T}_0) = \vec{P}(\vec{x}, \vec{\alpha}) + \vec{I}_F, \text{ where } \vec{I}_F \neq [0,0]. \]

3. Try to absorb $\vec{I}_F$ into the polynomial part that depends on $\vec{\alpha}$

   \[ \vec{P}(\vec{x}, \vec{\alpha}) + \vec{I}_F \subseteq \vec{P}'(\vec{x}, \vec{\alpha}) + [0,0]. \]  \hspace{1cm} (A)
Error Absorption

We limit the explicitly $\bar{\alpha}$-dependent part $\bar{P}_\alpha(x, \bar{\alpha})$ to be only linearly dependent on $\bar{\alpha}$, and express $\bar{I}_F$ by the matrix form.

$$\bar{P}_\alpha(x, \bar{\alpha}) + \bar{I}_F \subseteq \left( \hat{M} + \hat{M}(x) \right) \cdot \bar{\alpha} + \left( \hat{I}_F + \hat{I}_F(x) \right) \cdot \bar{\beta}.$$  

where $(\hat{I}_F)_{ii} = |I_{Fi}|, \hat{I}_F(x) = 0$. The problem is now to find a set sum of two parallelepipeds. Choose a favoured collection of $v$ column vectors $\hat{L} + \hat{L}(\bar{x})$ using the Psum algorithm.

$$\bar{P}_\alpha(x, \bar{\alpha}) + \bar{I}_F \subseteq \left( \hat{L} + \hat{L}(\bar{x}) \right) \cdot \bar{\alpha} + \left( \hat{E} + \hat{E}(\bar{x}) \right) \cdot \bar{\beta}$$

$$\subseteq \hat{L} \circ \left[ \left( \hat{I} + \hat{L}^{-1} \circ \hat{L}(\bar{x}) \right) \cdot \bar{\alpha} + \hat{B} \cdot \bar{\beta} \right]$$

where $\hat{B}$ is diagonal, $(\hat{B})_{ii} = \text{bound}((\hat{L}^{-1} \circ (\hat{E} + \hat{E}(\bar{x})) \cdot \bar{\beta})_{i})$.

If the diagonal terms of $\left( \hat{I} + \hat{L}^{-1} \circ \hat{L}(\bar{x}) \right)$ are positive,

$$\bar{P}_\alpha(x, \bar{\alpha}) + \bar{I}_F \subseteq \left( \hat{L} + \hat{L}(\bar{x}) + \hat{L} \circ \hat{B} \right) \cdot \bar{\alpha}.$$
Psum of Org Parallelepiped (0.4,0.15)-(0.2,0.13) and I-box 0.05-0.05
Psum of Org Parallelepiped (0.4,0.15)-(0.2,0.13) and I-box 0.07-0.07
Cost of Additional Parameters

For a $v$ dimensional system, we need $v$ parameters $\bar{\alpha}$ to absorb Taylor model remainder error bound intervals. The dependence on $\bar{\alpha}$ is limited to linear. So, we use weighted DA. Choose an appropriate weight order $w$ for $\bar{\alpha}$.

- The dependence on $\bar{\alpha}$ has to be kept linear. Namely $2 \cdot w > n$, where $n$ is the computational order of Taylor models. Choose
  
  $$w = \text{Int} \left( \frac{n}{2} \right) + 1.$$  

Maximum size necessary for DA and TM for $v = 2$.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$n$</th>
<th>$v$</th>
<th>DA</th>
<th>TM</th>
<th>$v$</th>
<th>DA</th>
<th>TM</th>
<th>$w$</th>
<th>$v_w$</th>
<th>DA</th>
<th>TM</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>2 + 2</td>
<td>2380</td>
<td>2419</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>2 + 2$_w$</td>
<td>161</td>
<td>200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>253</td>
<td>304</td>
<td>2 + 2</td>
<td>12650</td>
<td>12705</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>2 + 2$_w$</td>
<td>385</td>
<td>440</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>595</td>
<td>670</td>
<td>2 + 2</td>
<td>66045</td>
<td>66124</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>2 + 2$_w$</td>
<td>901</td>
<td>980</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Henon (Area Preserving). Performance Comparison. TM order 13, IC width 4e-3, no domain split
Dynamic Domain Decomposition

For extended domains, this is **natural equivalent** to step size control. Similarity to what’s done in global optimization.

1. Evaluate ODE for $\Delta t = 0$ for current flow.

2. If resulting remainder bound $R$ greater than $\varepsilon$, split the domain along variable leading to longest axis.

3. Absorb $R$ in the TM polynomial part using the error parameterization method. If it fails, split the domain along variable leading to largest $x$ dependence of the error.

4. Put one half of the box on stack for future work.

Things to consider:

- Utilize "First-in-last-out" stack; minimizes stack length. Special adjustments for stack management in a parallel environment, including load balancing.

- Outlook: also dynamic order control for dependence on initial conditions
The Duffing Equation

The equation describes a damped and driven oscillator. Exhibits sensitive dependence on initial conditions and chaoticity.

\[ \ddot{x} + \delta \dot{x} + \alpha x + \beta x^3 = \gamma \cos(\omega t) \]

Example: Study

\[ \begin{align*}
\dot{x} &= y \\
\dot{y} &= x - \delta y - x^3 + \gamma \cos(t)
\end{align*} \]

with

\[ \delta = 0.25, \quad \gamma = 0.3, \]

for

\[ t \in [0, \pi], \quad (x, y)_{IC} \in [-2, 2] \times [-2, 2]. \]
Duffing. IC split map. 12x12 ICs. VIRDA=0.50. 343 Objs. min_length=2.083e-2
Duffing. Time 0 to pi. 12x12 ICs. VIRDA=0.50. 343 Objs
Duffing eq. $x'=y$, $y'=x-\delta y-x^3+\gamma\cos(t)$, $\delta=0.25$, $\gamma=0.3$, 12x12 boxes in $[-2,2]^2$, $T=0$ (IC)
Duffing eq. $x' = y$, $y' = x - \delta y - x^3 + \gamma \cos(t)$, $\delta = 0.25$, $\gamma = 0.3$, 12x12 boxes in $[-2, 2]^2$, $T = \pi/4$
Duffing eq. $x' = y, \ y' = x - \delta y - x^3 + \gamma \cos(t)$, $\delta = 0.25$, $\gamma = 0.3$, 12x12 boxes in $[-2,2]^2$, $T = \pi/2$
Duffing eq. $x'=y$, $y'=x-\delta y-x^3+\gamma \cos(t)$, $\delta=0.25$, $\gamma=0.3$, 12x12 boxes in $[-2,2]^2$, $T=3\pi/4$
Duffing eq. \( x' = y, \ y' = x - \delta y - x^3 + \gamma \cos(t) \), \( \delta = 0.25, \ \gamma = 0.3 \), 12x12 boxes in \([-2,2]^2\), \( T = \pi \).
Rigorous Integrations of the Lorenz System

Rigorous flow integrations of large ranges of initial conditions have been computed using Taylor model based ODE integrators, particularly by COSY-VI version 3.

Example: Flow computations of the standard Lorenz equations for an area of initial condition

$$(x, y, z)|_0 = ([−40, 40], [−50, 50], [−25, 75])$$
Lorenz
IC: [-40, 40]x[-50, 50]x[-25, 75]  T=0.1
Lorenz            IC:[-40,40]x[-50,50]x[-25,75]      T=0.1
Lorenz

IC: [-40,40] x [-50,50] x [-25,75]

T = 0.1
Rigorous Integrations of the Lorenz System

Rigorous flow integrations of large ranges of initial conditions have been computed using Taylor model based ODE integrators, particularly by COSY-VI version 3.

Example: Flow computations of the standard Lorenz equations for an area of initial condition

\[(x, y, z)|_0 = ([-40, 40], [-50, 50], [-25, 75])\]

Example: Rigorous mapping of the Lorenz system to study dynamics on Poincare surfaces. Particularly compute the second full return Poincare maps to the surface \( z = \rho - 1 \) with a wide range of \( \rho \) dependence.
Lorenz, point integrations for the 2nd return to $z=27$ plane from the top
Return time of BOXY boxes

- 2 Return min
- 2 Return max
- 1 Return min
- 1 Return max
Rigorous Flows and Poincare Projections

Rigorous flow computations using COSY-VI ver 3

• Integrate until an approximate return time $\tilde{t}_R$

• The last time step is arranged such that the initial Taylor models $T_i(r)$ of the time step is entirely before crossing the surface and the solution Taylor models $T_f(r)$ is entirely after crossing the surface.

• Using the explicit time dependent TM solution $T(r, t)$ of the last time step, generate the position dependent return time $t_R(r)$ as a Taylor model.

• $T(r, t_R(r))$ provides a projected solution up to the computation order; finally the small TM remainder is projected.
Lorenz, VI integration of IC1 piece and corner point integrations
Outlook

• Conduct Poincare projections more frequently, possibly at every time step.

• Improvement of the Taylor model arithmetic package in COSY to allow arbitrarily high precision Taylor model computations.

• Improvement of COSY-VI, associated to above and else.